| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/649,024 | MICKELSON, MICHAEL |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Weilun Lo | 3747 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | _ |
| (1) <u>Weilun_Lo</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Mr. Robert Stearns. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 29 November 2004 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: art of record, particularly US 5,785,024 and US 4,428,593. | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN Agreement was reached to more clearly define the invention, | IERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAsee examiner's amendment. | AS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer | e record of the substance of the | ne interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic | ant/Applicant's Representative | Signature – if appropriate) |

Applicant(s)